

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,855	02/14/2001	Dann LeRoy Parker JR.	20526Y	9302
210	7590 05/14/2002			
MERCK AND CO INC			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 070650907			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/782.855**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Raymond Covington

Art Unit

1625

Parker et al



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3_____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/4/02 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-21 ______is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed. 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. L Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/782,855

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for non-heterocyclic, does not reasonably provide enablement for example, [N, O and S containing heterocyclic] derivatives. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is insufficient enabling disclosure to support the terms heteroaryl and hetero anylalkyl in R_3 R_{10} and 9.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not give nay guidance as to how each of the heterocyclic substituted derivatives were prepared. In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/782,855

Art Unit: 1625

8. the level of the skill in the art.

In the instant case, Applicants are claiming heterocyclic substituted tetrahydro fluorenone derivatives. Applicants have not disclosed any working examples which would demonstrate, or guide, one skilled in the art as to the heterocyclic substituted derivatives other than 5 and 6 member mono ring, N-heterocyclic derivatives, were prepared or obtained. The process of making the heterocyclic substituted derivatives or how the heterocyclic substituted derivatives were obtained is not readily apparent from the specification.

The specification must teach how to make the invention. <u>In re Gardner</u>, 166 U.S.P.Q. 138 (1970). In order to practice the claimed invention, one skilled in the art would have speculate how the heterocycle containing derivatives were obtained or prepared. Therefore, the instant invention is not enable. Claims limiting the scope of these terms should overcome this rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Raymond Covington at telephone number (703) 308-4704.

Covington/LR

May 8, 2002

ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600